Molecular mechanisms of oestrogen and SERMs in endometrial carcinogenesis

Yongfeng Shang

Abstract | Endometrial cancer is the most common gynaecological cancer, and is associated with endometrial hyperplasia, unopposed oestrogen exposure and adjuvant therapy for breast cancer using selective oestrogen-receptor modulators (SERMs), particularly tamoxifen. Oestrogen and SERMs are thought to be involved in endometrial carcinogenesis through their effects on transcriptional regulation. Ultimately, oestrogen and SERMs affect the transduction of cellular signalling pathways that govern cell growth and proliferation, through downstream effectors such as PAX2 (paired box 2).

Endometrioid

A tumour containing epithelial or stromal elements resembling endometrial tissue.

SERMs

A group of chemical compounds that are structurally related to oestrogens, biochemically bind to oestrogen receptors, and functionally exert different effects on different tissues.

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Peking University Health Science Center, Beijing 100083, China. e-mail: Jason@bjmu.edu.cn doi:10.1038/nrc1879 Endometrial cancer is the most common gynaecological malignancy and, after breast, colorectal and lung cancer, is the fourth most common cancer in North American and European women¹. The incidence of endometrial cancer continues to rise, especially in Eastern Asia and some Southern and Eastern European countries such as Slovakia and Slovenia². Mortality from endometrial cancer ranks eighth among cancer deaths in North American women¹, and in Europe nearly 10,000 women die of endometrial cancer each year³.

Endometrial carcinomas are classified into two types on the basis of biological and histopathological variables. Type I endometrial cancers account for approximately 80% of cases. Type I tumours are usually well-differentiated and endometrioid in histology, and are associated with a history of unopposed oestrogen exposure or other hyperoestrogenic risk factors such as obesity³. Patients with this type of endometrial cancer typically exhibit diseases with an early stage and have a favourable prognosis. By contrast, type II endometrial cancers are often poorly differentiated, non-endometrioid and are not associated with hyperoestrogenic factors. These tumours are more likely to be metastatic and can recur even after aggressive clinical intervention.

The pathogenic mechanisms of endometrial cancer are poorly understood. However, as in other malignancies, the transformation of normal endometrium to cancerous tissue is thought to involve a progressive accumulation of genetic abnormalities and epigenetic alterations that ultimately disrupt cellular signalling networks that govern processes such as cell proliferation, apoptosis and angiogenesis. As most endometrial carcinomas are type I oestrogen-associated endometrioid adenocarcinomas, it is important to delineate the molecular mechanisms underlying the roles of oestrogen and selective oestrogen-receptor modulators (SERMs) in endometrial carcinogenesis.

Even though most endometrial carcinomas are sporadic, about 10% of cases have a hereditary basis4-8 (BOX 1). So far, no specific gene or genes have been linked to the majority of cases of endometrial cancer. However, molecular analyses have implicated several well-characterized oncogenes9-16 and tumour-suppressor genes¹⁷⁻²² in endometrial carcinogenesis (TABLE 1). Current data indicate that type I tumours are more commonly associated with abnormalities in the DNA-mismatch repair genes KRAS, PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homologue) and β -catenin, whereas type II tumours seem to be linked to abnormalities in TP53 and ERBB2 (also known as HER2/neu). The abnormalities range from mutations, deletions and amplification/overexpression of genes to epigenetic deregulation.

In the early 1970s it was reported that there was a 20–35% increase in incidence of endometrial cancer in Western Caucasian women who had undergone oestrogen-only therapy²³. Subsequently, various clinical and epidemiological investigations, with support from studies in cell culture and animal models, have demonstrated the involvement of oestrogen in the development and/or progression of the disease. Oestrogen is now considered the classic aetiological factor for endometrial carcinogenesis; as previously noted, most endometrial cancers are type I oestrogen-associated endometrioid

At a glance

- Endometrial cancer is the most common gynaecological malignancy.
- Although no specific gene or genes have been linked to the majority of cases of endometrial cancer, several well-characterized oncogenes and tumour-suppressor genes have been implicated in endometrial carcinogenesis.
- Approximately 80% of endometrial cancer cases are type I tumours, which are usually well differentiated and endometrioid in histology, and are associated with a history of unopposed oestrogen exposure or other hyperoestrogenic risk factors such as obesity.
- Oestrogen and selective oestrogen-receptor modulators (SERMs) are implicated in endometrial carcinogenesis through regulation of gene transcription.
- Oestrogen and SERMs exert their carcinogenic roles in the endometrium through their downstream molecular effectors such as PAX2 (paired box gene 2).

adenocarcinomas. In 2002, the US National Toxicology Program listed steroidal oestrogens as carcinogens for the first time. The report cites data from human epidemiological studies that show an association between oestrogen-replacement therapy and an increase in the risk of endometrial cancer, as well as a less consistent increase in the risk of breast cancer.

SERMs were initially envisioned as drugs that would replace oestrogen therapy in alleviating the symptoms that are associated with menopause without the carcinogenic effects of oestrogen in the mammary gland and uterus. The first clinically available SERM was tamoxifen. It was introduced in the 1970s for treatment of advanced breast cancer in postmenopausal women^{24,25}, and its use was then expanded as an adjuvant therapy for reducing the risk of recurrence of breast cancer following surgery in premenopausal and postmenopausal women. However, in the mid-to-late 1980s, a series of reports documented an association between tamoxifen therapy in women with breast cancer and the development of endometrial carcinoma. The observation was subsequently substantiated in 1998 by the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project (NSABP) Breast Cancer Prevention Trial (BCPT)²⁶. It was reported by the NSABP-BCPT that the increased rate of endometrial cancer occurred predominantly in women aged 50 or older²⁶.

Mechanisms of oestrogen action

Oestrogens have a broad spectrum of physiological functions, ranging from regulation of the menstrual cycle and reproduction to the modulation of bone density, brain function and cholesterol mobilization. Oestrogen mediates its biological effects in target tissues by binding to specific intracellular receptors, oestrogen receptor- α (ER α) and ER β . Both ER α and ER β are members of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily and have modular structures and discrete domains for their specific functions as ligand-regulated transcription factors²⁷ (FIG. 1a).

ER α and ER β are highly homologous in their DNAbinding domains (~96%) and have moderate (53%) sequence identity in their ligand-binding domains (LBDs). The main functional difference between ER α

Box 1 | Familial endometrial cancer

Even though most cases are sporadic, about 10% of endometrial carcinomas have a hereditary basis. Many of these cases are associated with hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC), a dominantly inherited syndrome with germline mutations in one of the DNA-mismatch repair (MMR) genes that can lead to microsatellite instability⁴. HNPCC is characterized by early development of cancer in the colon as well as in the endometrium, stomach, small intestine and ovary. Women with HNPCC have a tenfold higher lifetime risk of endometrial cancer compared with the general population, and that risk (42%) is even higher than that for colorectal carcinoma (30%)⁵. Hereditary endometrial cancer is more likely to occur at a younger age than the sporadic form. Also, it is characterized by a high stage and grade, cribriform growth pattern, mucinous differentiation and necrosis⁶.

MMR gene mutations are generally not found in sporadic type I endometrial carcinomas but, instead, MMR genes might be inactivated or silenced in some of these cancers by epigenetic mechanisms such as promoter hypermethylation¹⁴⁹. The normal function of MMR genes and their association with endometrial cancer are illustrated below. DNA replication leads to occasional errors that are repaired by the MMR proteins MSH2 (mutS homologue 2), MSH3, MSH6, MLH1 (mutL homologue 1), PMS1 (postmeitoic segregation increased 1) and PMS2. Familial defects in the genes that encode these proteins leads to instability of various microsatellites, which can cause endometrial cancer.



Table 1 Genetic and epigenetic abnormalities associated with endometrial carcinomas							
Gene	Function	Abnormalities					
MLH1	DNA repair	Mutation, hypermethylation, loss of expression					
MSH2	DNA repair	Mutation, hypermethylation, loss of expression					
MSH6	DNA repair	Mutation, loss of expression					
KRAS	Oncogene	Mutation					
ERBB2 (HER2/neu)	Oncogene	Amplification					
PAX2	Oncogene	Hypomethylation, overexpression					
МҮС	Oncogene	Amplification, overexpression					
β-Catenin	Oncogene	Mutation, overexpression					
Survivin	Anti-apoptotic	Overexpression					
TERT	Telomere maintenance	Overexpression					
RUNX1	Transcription factor	Overexpression					
PTEN	Tumour suppressor	Mutation, deletion, hypermethylation, loss of expression					
TP53	Tumour suppressor	Mutation, deletion, overexpression					
PER1	Circadian-clock control	Hypermethylation, loss of expression					
TIG1	Tumour suppressor	Hypermethylation, loss of expression					
C/EBPa	Transcription factor	Hypermethylation, loss of expression					
CASC2a	Unknown	Mutation, hypermethylation (?), loss of expression					

C/EBPα, CCAAT/enhancer binding protein-α; CASC2*a*, cancer susceptibility candidate 2a; *MLH1*, mutL homologue 1; MSH, mutS homologue; *PAX2*, paired box gene 2; *PER1*, period homologue 1; *PTEN*, phosphatase and tensin homologue; *RUNX1*, runt-related transcription factor 1; *TERT*, telomerase reverse transcriptase; *TIG1*, TPA inducible gene 1.

and ER β seems to be determined by their different hormone-independent transcriptional activation function (AF1) domains in their N terminus. Specifically, the ER α AF1 domain is active in reporter-mediated gene expression, whereas activity of the AF1 domain of ER β is almost negligible²⁸. This difference probably contributes to ligand- and tissue-specific responses to oestrogen and SERMs, as discussed below.

The binding of oestrogen to ERs induces conformational changes in protein structure that allow for receptor dimerization and interaction with co-activator molecules^{29,30}. The subsequent transcriptional activation of genes occurs through targeting liganded ER directly to oestrogen response elements (EREs) in gene promoters or indirectly through binding to other transcription factors such as AP1, SP1 or nuclear factor κB (NF κB)³¹⁻³⁸ (FIG. 1b). The efficacy of the activated receptor is regulated by several mechanisms, and the balance of these mechanisms could determine the tissue-specific activity of the receptor. First, although the pathophysiological significance of ER β in target tissues such as the endometrium is still not established, the relative abundance of $ER\alpha$ versus ERB might nevertheless have important roles in oestrogen signalling. It has been found that $ER\alpha$ and $ER\beta$ have opposite effects on transcription that is mediated through the indirect mode of action, and these divergences might at least partially explain the inhibitory effect of ER β on the stimulation of cell proliferation by ER α^{39} . Second, ERs are subject to a variety of post-translational modifications: ER phosphorylation by several kinases, including the

mitogen-activated protein kinase and protein kinase A, enhances ER activity⁴⁰; ER acetylation by histone acetyltransferases regulates ER transactivation and hormone sensitivity⁴¹; and ER ubiquitylation regulates ER concentration and, therefore, bioavailability^{42,43}. Third, the enrichment of co-activator species and their post-translational modifications in a specific tissue are also important for the final transcriptional output of ER by the tissue. In addition, a range of studies revealed 'non-genomic', 'non-nuclear' or 'nontranscriptional' actions of ER44,45. Non-transcriptional functions of ER in the cytoplasm are characterized by fast and transient signal outputs that could potentially lead to a convergence of oestrogen signalling with other cellular signalling transduction pathways. These mechanisms could be exploited selectively to amplify tissue-specific responses to oestrogen. Alternatively, survival pathways in cancer could evolve to alter the entire responsiveness to ER signalling.

Expression of ERs in the endometrium

ER α and ER β have different tissue expression profiles. ER α is predominantly expressed in the breast, uterus and vagina, whereas ER β is mainly expressed in tissues such as the central nervous system, cardiovascular system, immune system, gastrointestinal system, kidney, lungs and bone⁴⁶. However, although the uterus is thought to predominantly express ER α , increased cell proliferation and exaggerated response to oestrogen in ER β -knockout mice indicates that ER β might modulate ER α function in the uterus and have an antiproliferative function^{47,48}. Therefore, an imbalance in ER α and ER β expression



Figure 1 | Genomic action of oestrogen and tamoxifen in the uterus. a | The functional domains of the oestrogen receptor (ER). Both ER α and ER β have six domains (A-F). The amino-terminal A and B domains together encode a hormone-independent transcriptional activation function (AF1) domain. Domain C corresponds to the highly conserved DNA-binding domain that is responsible for specific binding of the receptors to oestrogen response elements (EREs) in the promoter of target genes. Domain D, the hinge region that separates the DNA-binding domain and the ligand-binding domain, is thought to allow conformational changes in the receptor molecule during activation, and it is important in receptor dimerization. The E and F domains encode the ligandbinding domain that is located in the carboxy-terminal portion of the receptors. This region consists of 12 α -helices, which form a hydrophobic pocket allowing for oestrogen or selective oestrogen-receptor modulator binding. Domain E/F also harbours a second transcriptional activation function domain (AF2), which activates transcription in response to oestrogen or synthetic agonists by interacting with co-activators. b | Mechanisms of oestrogen and tamoxifen action. Ligand E2 (oestrogen) or T (tamoxifen) diffuses into the cell and binds to the oestrogen receptor (ER α /ER β). Liganded receptors enter the nucleus and form homodimeric or heterodimeric complexes that bind to EREs or tamoxifen response elements (TREs) on the target-gene promoters. Liganded receptors can also function as a monomer and bind to target gene promoters through interaction with other transcription factors. Promoter-associated ERs initiate gene transcription through interaction with co-regulators and the basal transcriptional machinery. c | Theoretical model and experimentally supported model of the genomic action of tamoxifen. Theoretically, if the full agonistic activity of oestrogen is a result of full regulation of oestrogen target genes, the phenotypic manifestation of partial oestrogenic activity of tamoxifen could result from one of the following genotypic behaviours: partial regulation of all E2 target genes, full regulation of some E2 target genes or partial regulation of some E2 target genes. Experimentally, tamoxifen in the endometrium regulates transcription of genes that exhibit an overlapping but distinct profile compared with the target genes of oestrogen. X, other transcription factor (for example, AP1, SP1 or nuclear factor κB).

could be a crucial step in oestrogen-dependent tumorigenesis. However, ER α expression is decreased in grade 3 tumour samples when compared with normal or grade 1 samples, whereas ER β expression does not correlate with tumour grade. This indicates that a decrease in the ER α /ER β ratio occurs as the tumour progresses^{49,50}. The significance of the relative expression of ER subtypes in endometrial cancer remains to be clarified.

ER α and ER β variants that arise from alternative splicing or splicing errors have been described and implicated in cancer. An ER α exon 5 splice variant (Δ 5 $ER\alpha$) has not been detected in normal endometrium but was expressed at significantly increased levels in endometrial carcinomas compared with endometrial hyperplasia⁵¹. Indeed, $\Delta 5 \text{ ER}\alpha$ has been shown to be able to constitutively activate ER-dependent transcription in the absence of hormone^{52,53}. This could therefore provide endometrial tumour cells with a proliferative advantage, potentially leading to uncontrolled proliferation. An ERB exon 8 splice variant, ER β cx (REF.54), has a dominant-negative effect on ER α function and might have an effect on tumour progression in breast cancer⁵⁵. ERβcx is expressed in both normal and malignant endometrium, but its role in the pathogenesis of endometrial cancer is unknown^{56,57}.

Molecular mechanisms of SERM action

Selective oestrogen-receptor modulators. Three SERMs are currently used in the treatment and/or prevention of breast cancer and osteoporosis (TABLE 2). Tamoxifen is the most prescribed antineoplastic drug worldwide, and it is used to treat patients with all stages of hormone-responsive breast cancer⁵⁸. It has been shown to prevent breast cancer in women who are at high-risk for this disease²⁶. Despite having an anti-oestrogenic function in the breast, tamoxifen shows partial oestrogenic effects in other target tissues^{26,59-62}. These partial oestrogenic actions have beneficial effects on bones and the cardiovascular system in postmenopausal women⁵⁹. However, in the endometrium it is also associated with an increased incidence of cancer⁵⁹⁻⁶³.

Raloxifene, a second-generation SERM, was initially approved for the prevention of osteoporosis in 1997, and in October 1999 approval was extended to include treatment of patients with existing osteoporosis. Like tamoxifen, raloxifene seems to prevent breast cancer in high-risk women; unlike tamoxifen, it has not been found to increase the incidence of endometrial cancer⁶⁴. The Study of Tamoxifen and Raloxifene was initiated in 1999 to compare the effects of the two agents on breast cancer prevention and endometrial cancer risk; the results are expected in the summer of 2006. Toremifene is another SERM that has antioestrogenic activity in the mammary gland. The beneficial effects of toremifene on bone mineral density and lipid profiles are similar to those of tamoxifen, but, like tamoxifen, toremifene also has stimulatory effects on the endometrium and it is presently only used in postmenopausal women with metastatic breast cancer.

			•	•		
SERMs	Generation	Activity				Application
		Mammary	Uterus	Bone	Blood vessels	
Oestrogen		+++	+++	+++	+++	
'Ideal SERM'		-	-	+++	+++	
Tamoxifen	I	-	++	+	+	Breast cancer
Raloxifene	II	-	-	++	+	Osteoporosis
Toremifene	II	-	+/-	+	+	Breast cancer
Idoxifene	II	-	+/-	+	+	
Droloxifene	II	-	+/-	+	Unknown	
GW-5638	II	-	+/-	+	Unknown	
Arzoxifene	III	-	-	++	+	
EM-652	IV	-	+/-	+	Unknown	

Table 2 | Generation and effect of selective oestrogen-receptor modulators (SERMs)

In addition to tamoxifen, raloxifene and toremifene, several new SERMs are currently being investigated for the treatment of breast cancer and for potential chemoprevention of breast and endometrial cancers (TABLE 2). Arzoxifene, a third-generation SERM, is a long-acting raloxifene analogue. Arzoxifene can protect against bone loss, and it reduces serum cholesterol levels in animal models. It is also highly effective for the prevention of mammary cancer induced in the rat by the carcinogen nitrosomethylurea. Arzoxifene is even more potent in these respects than raloxifene, but it is devoid of the uterotrophic effects of tamoxifen65. EM-652 (acolbifene) and GW-5638 are fourth-generation SERMs that exert complete anti-oestrogenic effects on the breast and uterus. At the same time, they have also been shown to have oestrogenic effects, preventing bone loss and reducing serum cholesterol in ovariectomized rats and having minimal negative effects on the endometrium⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸. Another novel SERM, SP500263, was discovered in a screen that used a small-molecule compound library to identify oestrogen agonists in bone69. It has high affinity for both ER α and ER β , but functions through ER α only. SP500263 functions as an anti-oestrogen in human mammary carcinoma cells (MCF-7 cells), and effectively reduced oestrogen-stimulated tumour growth in a murine breast cancer xenograft model. The efficacy of SP500263 was comparable to tamoxifen and superior to raloxifene. Importantly, SP500263 did not promote uterine wet weight in immature rats or in adult ovariectomized rats.

Second-, third- and fourthgeneration SERMs

Chemical compounds that are developed in different stages and are intended to improve the beneficial effects and reduce the harmful effects of original SERMs.

Genotoxicity

Effects that cause genetic mutations and/or changes in chromosome structure and number.

Genomic action of tamoxifen. The stimulation of endometrial carcinogenesis by tamoxifen is of great interest both in clinical medicine and to research scientists. Tamoxifen and raloxifene bind to ERs. The crystal structures of ER α in the presence of its hormone agonists or liganded with tamoxifen or raloxifene indicate that the receptor LBD interaction surfaces are composed of amino-acid residues belonging to α -helices 3, 4, 5 and 12 (REFS 70.71). When the LBD of ER α is complexed with 17 β -estradiol (E2 — a type of oestrogen), the α -helix 12 is positioned over the ligand-binding pocket and forms an interaction surface for the recruitment of co-activators⁷¹. By contrast, when the LBDs of either ER α or ER β are liganded with tamoxifen or raloxifene, α-helix 12 is displaced from its agonist position and occupies the hydrophobic groove adjacent to α -helices 3, 4 and 5, causing α -helix 12 to block the coactivator interaction surface^{72,73}. The positioning of the α -helix 12 following ligand binding has been proposed as an important mechanism for full oestrogen action on ER α and ER $\beta^{74,75}$. Accordingly, the binding of the anti-oestrogen ICI 182,780 to ERα causes an alteration of the geometry of α -helix 12, preventing co-activator association, whereas raloxifene induces a unique conformational change in the ER structure, favouring its interaction with a specific subset of co-activators76. The conformation that ER adopts in the presence of raloxifene enables the engagement of tissue-specific cofactors that allow raloxifene to have a positive effect in bone^{77,78}. In summary, based on the crystal structures of the ligand-bound LBDs of ERs70,71, it is believed that tamoxifen and raloxifene function as ER antagonists in the mammary gland by inducing conformational changes that block the interaction of ERs with coactivator proteins. However, this molecular mechanism is not compatible with the partial oestrogenic activity of tamoxifen in the uterus. Among the theories being investigated is the possible genotoxicity of tamoxifen, but the detection of endometrial tamoxifen-DNA adducts in exposed women is still largely controversial⁷⁹⁻⁸⁵.

By contrast, biochemical and animal experiments70,86-97 as well as genetic studies⁹⁸⁻¹⁰¹ strongly favour an oestrogen-receptor-dependent pathway in all tissues, implicating gene regulation as the mechanism of tamoxifen differential action. Experimental evidence supports a transactivation activity for tamoxifen-liganded ERs, and the association of tamoxifen-liganded ERs with coactivator proteins has been well documented^{36,37,93,102-105} and is an established model for the role of nuclear receptors in gene regulation. For example, it has been shown that differential recruitment of a co-activator contributed to the tissue specificity of tamoxifenliganded ER α^{37} . So, there is a strong possibility that, mechanistically, endometrial carcinogenesis proceeds from alterations in gene expression due to tamoxifenactivated gene transcription.

Canonical

Standard or well-established and recognized DNA sequences to which transcription factors bind.

If tamoxifen does function to regulate gene transcription in the endometrium, and if we assume that the full agonistic activity of oestrogen is a result of full regulation of oestrogen target genes, the phenotypic manifestation of partial oestrogenic activity of tamoxifen could result from one of the following genotypic behaviours: partial regulation of all oestrogen target genes, full regulation of some oestrogen target genes, or partial regulation of some oestrogen target genes (FIG. 1c). Recent studies using human genome microarrays have demonstrated that tamoxifen regulates gene transcription in endometrial epithelial cells isolated from type I endometrial carcinoma samples¹⁰⁶. Furthermore, the genes that are targeted by tamoxifen are different from the genes that are targeted by oestrogen. A comparison of the gene-expression profile in cancerous versus normal endometrial epithelial cells indicates that there are more upregulated genes and fewer downregulated genes in the cancer cells. The ability of tamoxifen and raloxifene to regulate gene transcription in the endometrium has also been demonstrated by other laboratories¹⁰⁷⁻¹⁰⁹, and, consistent with the pathobiology of type I endometrial cancer, it has been reported that transcriptional responses were identified in epithelial cells but not in stromal cells¹⁰⁷. These data indicate that





the ability to regulate gene transcription could dictate the role of tamoxifen in endometrial carcinogenesis. It will be important to fully study the genomic activities of SERMs such as tamoxifen and raloxifene, in addition to the roles of these compounds as partial ER agonists.

Transcriptional regulation by tamoxifen. The observation that tamoxifen target genes are different from oestrogen target genes is intriguing. After all, oestrogen and tamoxifen are believed to bind the same ERs. However, it is well documented that different ligands bind to different ER subtypes with different affinities — this could result in differential gene regulation^{86,94,96,110,111}. Second, previous studies by several laboratories have demonstrated that the transcription activation of tamoxifen-bound ERs is promoter context-specific^{32,37,86,88,94,96,110,112}. ERs can target gene promoters that harbour either a classical ERE, a half ERE site, or sites that are canonical for other transcription factors such as AP1, SP1 and NF-κB³¹⁻³⁷. It is reasonable to speculate that oestrogen-liganded ERs and tamoxifenliganded ERs, owing to their different conformations70 and different co-activator associations, possess different affinities for different gene promoters. As a result, oestrogen and tamoxifen could regulate different sets of genes. Some of the gene promoters could accommodate both oestrogen-liganded ERs and tamoxifen-liganded ERs. In this situation, the gene would be a target for both oestrogen and tamoxifen. Moreover, it is well documented that the transactivation function of tamoxifen-liganded ERs largely resides in AF1 in the N terminus of the ERs^{93,94,97,112–115}. This is in contrast to the transactivation action of oestrogen-liganded ERs, which mainly depend on the AF2 domain in their C terminus. Differential association of co-activator proteins with AF1 versus AF2 could determine the affinity of oestrogen-liganded ERs and tamoxifen-liganded ERs for different gene promoters.

PAX2 and endometrial carcinogenesis. PAX2 (paired box 2) has been identified as an important effector of oestrogen- and tamoxifen-stimulated proliferation of endometrial cells¹⁰⁶. PAX2 is one of the nine related genes in the Pax family of transcription factors. Pax genes possess a 128-amino-acid DNA-binding domain along with a paired-box domain, which makes sequencespecific contacts with DNA^{116,117} (FIG. 2a). The expression of Pax genes is tightly regulated in both a temporal and a spatial manner — they are primarily expressed during fetal development and are switched off during later phases of terminal differentiation in most structures^{117,118}. PAX2 is normally expressed in the developing urogenital tract, spinal cord, midbrain, hindbrain, ear and optic nerve^{117,119-121}. Pax2-null mice fail to develop the urogenital tract and have anomalies in the optic nerve, central nervous system and inner ear¹²²⁻¹²⁴.

In adult tissues, constitutive expression of several Pax genes, either as part of a fusion gene or as a whole gene, promotes tissue hyperplasia and malignant transformation^{117,125-129}. Deregulation of Pax genes owing to gene mutation, translocation, rearrangement or amplification has been found in several tumours, and Pax gene products can undergo cellular transformation^{117,129-139},

indicating that Pax genes can be classified as oncogenes. PAX2 expression has been detected in Wilms' tumour¹⁴⁰⁻ ¹⁴², a childhood renal tumour of embryonic origin, as well as in a high proportion of primary tumours, including breast, ovarian, lung, colon and prostate tumours as well as lymphoma^{135,138,139}. Typically, it has been found that PAX2 expression accompanies high rates of cell division136-139. In endometrial cells, gain-offunction and loss-of-function experiments have both demonstrated that PAX2 promotes cell proliferation and tumour growth¹⁰⁶. PAX2 and ERα are co-expressed in endometrial tumour samples, and PAX2 expression is activated in cancerous endometrial cells but not in normal endometrial cells. Collectively, these data indicate that PAX2 is a molecular effector for oestrogen and tamoxifen in endometrial carcinogenesis.

Epigenetic deregulation of PAX2. As mentioned above, Pax genes are developmentally regulated and are silenced in adulthood. Why is PAX2 activated by oestrogen and tamoxifen in endometrial cancer? Studies of the PAX2 promoter reveal that it is methylated, and therefore silenced, in normal adult endometrial cells, but that this methylation is lost in 75% of endometrial carcinomas¹⁰⁶. Therefore, the activation of PAX2 expression in endometrial carcinoma cells through promoter hypomethylation is one possible mechanism for its upregulation in endometrial tissue. This might occur through demethylation of CpG sites within the PAX2 promoter. CpG methylation is mediated by methyl-CpG binding proteins (MeCPs), which, in turn, are associated with SIN3A-histone deacetylase (HDAC)-containing transcription repression complexes143. The association of MeCP2, SIN3A and HDAC1 with the PAX2 upstream regulatory region was reported in normal endometrial epithelial cells, but not in cancer cells106. Loss of association of the MeCP-SIN3A-HDAC1containing protein complex with the PAX2 promoter could therefore be a mechanism for the upregulation of this gene in cancer cells (FIG. 2b).

Future perspectives

Future studies are needed to investigate the mechanisms by which the *PAX2* promoter loses its methylation mark and to determine how *PAX2* expression, once reactivated, promotes cell proliferation in endometrial carcinoma cells. It is unclear at present whether there are any causative effects of oestrogen and tamoxifen on the loss of *PAX2*-promoter methylation in endometrial cancer. However, it is possible that oestrogen and tamoxifen,

through their capacity for gene-transcription regulation, alter the cellular milieu that maintains the silenced state of the developmentally regulated genes that include PAX2. PAX2 is a transcription factor, and once expressed, it regulates other downstream genes, the products of which could promote the cell proliferation and oncogenic transformation. So far, only a few downstream target genes of PAX2 have been identified¹⁴⁴⁻¹⁴⁷, and the effector or effectors that are downstream of PAX2 in mediating the carcinogenic effect of oestrogen and tamoxifen in endometrium will be a subject for future investigations. In addition, it will be interesting to determine whether or not the loss of methylation in the PAX2 promoter is endometrial specific. It is possible that deregulation of PAX2-promoter methylation might not differ between endometrial and mammary carcinomas. Rather, the consequence of the hypomethylation could differ between these two types of carcinoma in responding to tamoxifen stimulation, and this difference could be associated with the difference in co-activator/co-repressor balance in these two types of tissue, as reported previously³⁷.

There is considerable interest in developing new SERMs as multifunctional agents for improving women's health. In particular, new SERMs could have chemopreventive potential for breast cancer and endometrial cancer. The recent success of breast cancer prevention trials with tamoxifen²⁶ and raloxifene¹⁴⁸ in high-risk populations indicates that chemoprevention is possible and worth pursuing. An ideal SERM would be one with tissue-specific beneficial effects coupled with lack of harm to other tissues. The key to such a SERM relies on not only an expanded delineation of the oestrogen signalling pathway, but also a better understanding of the tissue specificity of SERM functions.

Biophysical studies to determine how the structures of ERs and their ligands change when they interact, and how these configuration changes affect transcription, will facilitate our studies of SERM function and oestrogen signalling. Currently, new anti-oestrogens, including the SERMS (for example, toremifene, droloxifene, idoxifene and arzoxifene), the selective ER downregulators (for example, fulvestrant), and the new steroidal (for example, exemestane) and non-steroidal (for example, anastrozole and letrozole) aromatase inhibitors, are being investigated for the treatment of patients with breast cancer and hormonally sensitive tumours of the uterine body. The optimal approach for future research includes delineation of the molecular modulation mechanisms that are involved in ER signalling.

- 1. Jemal, A. *et al.* Cancer statistics, 2005. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* **55**, 10–30 (2005).
- Bray, F., dos Santos Silva, I., Moller, H. & Weiderpass, E. Endometrial cancer incidence trends in europe: underlying determinants and prospects for prevention. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 14, 1132–1142 (2005).
- Amant, F. et al. Endometrial cancer. The Lancet 366, 491–505 (2005).
 A thorough and excellent discussion of current concepts about epidemiology, pathology, pathogenesis, risk factors and prevention, diagnosis, staging, prognostic factors, treatment and follow-up of endometrial cancer.
- Ollikainen, M. et al. Molecular analysis of familial endometrial carcinoma: a manifestation of hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer or a separate syndrome? J. Clin. Oncol. 23, 4609–4616 (2005)
- Dunlop, M. *et al.* Cancer risk associated with germline DNA mismatch repair gene mutations. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 6, 105–110 (1997).
- Parc, Y. R. *et al.* Microsatellite instability and hMLH1/ hMSH2 expression in young endometrial carcinoma patients: associations with family history and histopathology. *Int. J. Cancer* 86, 60–66 (2000).
- Kunkel, T. A. & Erie, D. A. DNA mismatch repair. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **74**, 681–710 (2005).
- Marti, T. M., Kunz, C. & Fleck, O. DNA mismatch repair and mutation avoidance pathways. *J. Cell Physiol.* **191**, 28–41 (2002).
- Enomoto, T. *et al.* K-ras activation in premalignant and malignant epithelial lesions of the human uterus. *Cancer Res.* 51, 5308–5314 (1991).
- Lagarda, H., Catasus, L., Arguelles, R., Matias-Guiu, X. & Prat, J. K-ras mutations in endometrial carcinomas with microsatellite instability. *J. Pathol.* **193**, 193–199 (2001).
- Lax, S. F., Kendall, B., Tashiro, H., Slebos, R. J. & Hedrick, L. The frequency of p53, K-ras mutations, and microsatellite instability differs in uterine

endometrioid and serous carcinoma: evidence of distinct molecular genetic pathways. *Cancer* **88**, 814–824 (2000).

- Niederacher, D. *et al.* Mutations and amplification of oncogenes in endometrial cancer. *Oncology* 56, 59–65 (1999).
- Saffari, B. *et al.* Amplification and overexpression of HER-2/neu (c-erbB2) in endometrial cancers: correlation with overall survival. *Cancer Res.* 55, 5693–5698 (1995).
- Scholten, A. N., Creutzberg, C. L., van den Broek, L. J., Noordijk, E. M. & Smit, V. T. Nuclear β-catenin is a molecular feature of type I endometrial carcinoma. *J. Pathol.* **201**, 460–465 (2003).
- Moreno-Bueno, G. *et al.* Abnormalities of the APC/βcatenin pathway in endometrial cancer. *Oncogene* 21, 7981–7990 (2002).
- Schlosshauer, P. W., Ellenson, L. H. & Soslow, R. A. β-Catenin and E-cadherin expression patterns in highgrade endometrial carcinoma are associated with histological subtype. *Mod. Pathol.* 15, 1032–1037 (2002).
- Mutter, G. L. *et al.* Altered PTEN expression as a diagnostic marker for the earliest endometrial precarcers. J. Natl Cancer Inst. **92**, 024, 020 (2000)
- precancers. J. Natl Cancer Inst. **92**, 924–930 (2000).
 Risinger, J. I., Hayes, A. K., Berchuck, A. & Barrett, J. C. PTEN/MMAC1 mutations in endometrial cancers. Cancer Res. **57**, 4736–4738 (1997).
- Obata, K. *et al.* Frequent PTEN/MMAC mutations in endometrioid but not serous or mucinous epithelial ovarian tumors. *Cancer Res.* 58, 2095–2097 (1998).
- Kounelis, S. *et al.* Immunohistochemical profile of endometrial adenocarcinoma: a study of 61 cases and review of the literature. *Mod. Pathol.* 13, 379–388 (2000).
- Moll, Ú. M., Chalas, E., Auguste, M., Meaney, D. & Chumas, J. Uterine papillary serous carcinoma evolves via a p53-driven pathway. *Hum. Pathol.* 27, 1295–1300 (1996).
- 1295–1300 (1996).
 Zheng, W., Cao, P., Zheng, M., Kramer, E. E. & Godwin, T. A. p53 overexpression and bcl-2 persistence in endometrial carcinoma: comparison of papillary serous and endometrioid subtypes. *Gynecol. Oncol.* 61, 167–174 (1996).
- Shapiro, S. *et al.* Recent and past use of conjugated estrogens in relation to adenocarcinoma of the endometrium. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **303**, 485–489 (1980).
 Cole, M. P., Jones, C. T. & Todd, I. D. A new anti-
- Cole, M. P., Jones, C. T. & Todd, I. D. A new antioestrogenic agent in late breast cancer. An early clinical appraisal of ICl46474. *Br. J. Cancer* 25, 270–275 (1971).
- Ward, H. W. Anti-oestrogen therapy for breast cancer: a trial of tamoxifen at two dose levels. *Br. Med. J.* 1, 13–14 (1973).
- Fisher, B. *et al.* Tamoxifen for prevention of breast cancer: report of the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project P-1 Study. *J. Natl Cancer Inst.* **90**, 1371–1388 (1998).
- Mangelsdorf, D. J. *et al.* The nuclear receptor superfamily: the second decade. *Cell* 83, 835–839 (1995).
 Hall, J. M. & McDonnell, D. P. The estrogen receptor
- Hall, J. M. & McDonnell, D. P. The estrogen receptor β-isoform (ERβ) of the human estrogen receptor modulates ERα transcriptional activity and is a key regulator of the cellular response to estrogens and antiestrogens. *Endocrinology* 140, 5566–5578 (1999).
- McDonnell, D. P. & Norris, J. D. Connections and regulation of the human estrogen receptor. *Science* 296, 1642–1644 (2002).
 An excellent review that provides an extensive

overview of the mechanistic actions of ERs. 30. McKenna, N. J., Lanz, R. B. & O'Malley, B. W. Nuclear receptor coregulators: cellular and molecular biology.

- Endocr. Rev. 20, 321–344 (1999).
 Kushner, P. J. et al. Estrogen receptor pathways to AP-1. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 74, 311–317 (2000).
- J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 74, 311–317 (2000).
 Kushner, P. J. et al. Oestrogen receptor function at
- classical and alternative response elements. *Novartis Found. Symp.* 230, 20–26 (2000).
 33. Watanabe, T. *et al.* Isolation of estrogen-responsive
- genes with a CpG island library. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18, 442–449 (1998).
 34. Dubik, D. & Shiu, R. P. Mechanism of estrogen
- Dubik, D. & Snitt, R. P. Mechanism of estrogen activation of c-myc oncogene expression. Oncogene 7, 1587–1594 (1992).
- Umayahara, Y. *et al.* Estrogen regulation of the insulinlike growth factor I gene transcription involves an AP-1 enhancer. *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**, 16433–16442 (1994).
- Shang, Y., Hu, X., DiRenzo, J., Lazar, M. A. & Brown, M. Cofactor dynamics and sufficiency in estrogen receptor-regulated transcription. *Cell* **103**, 843–852 (2000).

- Shang, Y. & Brown, M. Molecular determinants for the tissue specificity of SERMs. *Science* 295, 2465–2468 (2002).
- Smith, C. L. & O'Malley, B. W. Coregulator function: a key to understanding tissue specificity of selective receptor modulators. *Endocr. Rev.* 25, 45–71 (2004).
- Barkhem, T., Nilsson, S. & Gustafsson, J. A. Molecular mechanisms, physiological consequences and pharmacological implications of estrogen receptor action. Am. J. Pharmacogenomics 4, 19–28 (2004).
- Fu, M., Wang, C., Zhang, X. & Pestell, R. Nuclear receptor modifications and endocrine cell proliferation. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 85, 133– 138 (2003).
- Wang, C. *et al.* Direct acetylation of the estrogen receptor α hinge region by p300 regulates transactivation and hormone sensitivity. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 18375–18383 (2001).
- Wijayaratne, A. L. & McDonnell, D. P. The human estrogen receptor-α is a ubiquitinated protein whose stability is affected differentially by agonists, antagonists, and selective estrogen receptor modulators. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 35684–35692 (2001).
- Tateishi, Y. et al. Ligand-dependent switching of ubiquitin-proteasome pathways for estrogen receptor. EMBO J. 23, 4813–4823 (2004).
- Szego, C. M. & Davis, J. S. Adenosine 3',5'monophosphate in rat uterus: acute elevation by estrogen. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 58, 1711–1718 (1967).
- Bjornstrom, L. & Sjoberg, M. Mechanisms of estrogen receptor signaling: convergence of genomic and nongenomic actions on target genes. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 19, 833–842 (2005).
- Kuiper, G. G. *et al.* Comparison of the ligand binding specificity and transcript tissue distribution of estrogen receptors α and β. *Endocrinology* 138, 863–870 (1997).
- Lecce, G., Meduri, G., Ancelin, M., Bergeron, C. & Perrot-Applanat, M. Presence of estrogen receptor β in the human endometrium through the cycle: expression in glandular, stromal, and vascular cells. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **36**, 1379–1386 (2001).
 Weihua, Z. *et al.* Estrogen receptor (ER) B, a
- Weihua, Z. *et al.* Estrogen receptor (ER) β, a modulator of ERc in the uterus. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 97, 5936–5941 (2000).
 Jazaeri, A. A. *et al.* Well-differentiated endometrial
- Jazaeri, A. A. *et al.* Well-differentiated endometrial adenocarcinomas and poorly differentiated mixed mullerian tumors have altered ER and PR isoform expression. *Oncogene* 20, 6965–6969 (2001).
 Saeeusa, M. & Okavasu, I. Chanees in expression of
- 50. Saegusa, M. & Okayasu, I. Changes in expression of estrogen receptors α and β in relation to progesterone receptor and pS2 status in normal and malignant endometrium. *Jpn J. Cancer Res.* **91**, 510–518 (2000).
- Horvath, G., Leser, G., Hahlin, M. & Henriksson, M. Exon deletions and variants of human estrogen receptor mRNA in endometrial hyperplasia and adenocarcinoma. *Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer* 10, 128–136 (2000).
- Bryant, W., Snowhite, A. E., Rice, L. W. & Shupnik, M. A. The estrogen receptor (ER)α variant δ5 exhibits dominant positive activity on ER-regulated promoters in endometrial carcinoma cells. *Endocrinology* 146, 751–759 (2005).
- Herynk, M. H. & Fuqua, S. A. Estrogen receptor mutations in human disease. *Endocr. Rev.* 25, 869–898 (2004).
 Ogawa, S. *et al.* Molecular cloning and
- Ogawa, S. *et al.* Molecular cloning and characterization of human estrogen receptor βcx: a potential inhibitor ofestrogen action in human. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 26, 3505–3512 (1998).
 Saji, S. *et al.* Expression of estrogen receptor (ER)
- Saji, S. *et al.* Expression of estrogen receptor (ER) (β)cx protein in ER(α)-positive breast cancer: specific correlation with progesterone receptor. *Cancer Res.* 62, 4849–4853 (2002).
- Critchley, H. O. *et al.* Wild-type estrogen receptor (ERβ1) and the splice variant (ERβcx/β2) are both expressed within the human endometrium throughout the normal menstrual cycle. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 87, 5265–5273 (2002).
- Skrzypczak, M. *et al.* Evaluation of mRNA expression of estrogen receptor β and its isoforms in human normal and neoplastic endometrium. *Int. J. Cancer* 110, 783–787 (2004).
- Goldstein, S. R. The effect of SERMs on the endometrium. *Ann. NY Acad. Sci.* 949, 237–242 (2001).
- Jordan, V. C., Gapstur, S. & Morrow, M. Selective estrogen receptor modulation and reduction in risk of breast cancer, osteoporosis, and coronary heart disease. *J. Natl Cancer Inst.* **93**, 1449–1457 (2001).

- 60. Jordan, V. C. Is tamoxifen the Rosetta stone for breast
- cancer? J. Natl Cancer Inst. 95, 338–340 (2003).
 Jordan, V. C. Tamoxifen: a most unlikely pioneering medicine. Nature Rev. Drug Discov. 2, 205–213 (2003)
- Jordan, V. C. Selective estrogen receptor modulation: concept and consequences in cancer. *Cancer Cell* 5, 207–213 (2004).

As a pioneer in the field of SERM research and application, the lead author in references 59–62 discusses the historical perspective, tissue specificity, mechanistic actions and clinical implications of SERMs.

- Smith, R. E. & Good, B. C. Chemoprevention of breast cancer and the trials of the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project and others. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* 10, 347–357 (2003).
- Goldstein, S. R. *et al.* À 12-month comparative study of raloxifene, estrogen, and placebo on the postmenopausal endometrium. *Obstet. Gynecol.* 95, 95–103 (2000).
- Sporn, M. B. Arzoxifene: a promising new selective estrogen receptor modulator for clinical chemoprevention of breast cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **10**, 5313–5315 (2004).
 Martel, C. *et al.* Prevention of bone loss by EM-800
- Martel, C. *et al.* Prevention of bone loss by EM-800 and raloxifene in the ovariectomized rat. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 74, 45–56 (2000).
- Biochem. Mol. Biol. 74, 45–56 (2000).
 Willson, T. M. et al. 3-(4-(1, 2-Diphenylbut-1enyl)phenyl]acrylic acid: a non-steroidal estrogen with functional selectivity for bone over uterus in rats. *J. Med. Chem.* 37, 1550–1552 (1994).
 Simoncini, T. et al. Genomic and nongenomic
- Simoncini, T. et al. Genomic and nongenomic mechanisms of nitric oxide synthesis induction in human endothelial cells by a fourth-generation selective estrogen receptor modulator. Endocrinology 143, 2052–2061 (2002).
- Brady, H. *et al.* Effects of SP500263, a novel, potent antiestrogen, on breast cancer cells and in xenograft models. *Cancer Res.* 62, 1439–1442 (2002).
- Shiau, A. K. *et al.* The structural basis of estrogen receptor/coactivator recognition and the antagonism of this interaction by tamoxifen. *Cell* **95**, 927–937 (1998).
- Brzozowski, A. M. *et al.* Molecular basis of agonism and antagonism in the oestrogen receptor. *Nature* 389, 753–758 (1997).
- Tanenbaum, D. M., Wang, Y., Williams, S. P. & Sigler, P. B. Crystallographic comparison of the estrogen and progesterone receptor's ligand binding domains. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **95**, 5998–6003 (1998).
- Pike, A. C. *et al.* Structural insights into the mode of action of a pure antiestrogen. *Structure* 9, 145–153 (2001).
- Jordan, V. C. Antiestrogens and selective estrogen receptor modulators as multifunctional medicines. 1. Receptor interactions. J. Med. Chem. 46, 883–908 (2003).
- Jordan, V. C. Antiestrogens and selective estrogen receptor modulators as multifunctional medicines. 2. Clinical considerations and new agents. J. Med. Chem. 46, 1081–1111 (2003).
- Paige, L. A. *et al.* Estrogen receptor (ER) modulators each induce distinct conformational changes in ER α and ER β. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 96, 3999–4004 (1999).
- McDonnell, D. P. Mining the complexities of the estrogen signaling pathways for novel therapeutics. *Endocrinology* 144, 4237–4240 (2003).
 McDonnell, D. P. The molecular pharmacology of SERMs.
- McDonnell, D. P. The molecular pharmacology of SERMs. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. 10, 301–311 (1999).
- Carmichael, P. L. *et al.* Lack of evidence from HPLC 32P-post-labelling for tamoxifen–DNA adducts in the human endometrium. *Carcinogenesis* 20, 339–342 (1999).
- Beland, F. A., McDaniel, L. P. & Marques, M. M. Comparison of the DNA adducts formed by tamoxifen and 4-hydroxytamoxifen *in vivo*. *Carcinogenesis* 20, 471–477 (1999).
- Bartsch, H. *et al.* Lack of evidence for tamoxifen- and toremifene-DNA adducts in lymphocytes of treated patients. *Carcinogenesis* 21, 845–847 (2000).
- Shibutani, S. *et al.* Identification of tamoxifen-DNA adducts in the endometrium of women treated with tamoxifen. *Carcinogenesis* 21, 1461–1467 (2000).
- Phillips, D. H. Understanding the genotoxicity of tamoxifen? *Carcinogenesis* 22, 839–849 (2001).
- Schild, L. J. *et al.* Formation of tamoxifen–DNA adducts in multiple organs of adult female cynomolgus monkeys dosed with tamoxifen for 30 days. *Cancer Res.* 63, 5999–6003 (2003).
- Shibutani, S. *et al.* Identification of tamoxifen-DNA adducts in monkeys treated with tamoxifen. *Cancer Res.* 63, 4402–4406 (2003).

- Watanabe, T. et al. Agonistic effect of tamoxifen is 86. dependent on cell type, ERE-promoter context, and estrogen receptor subtype: functional difference between estrogen receptors α and β . *Biochem.*
- Biophys. Res. Commun. **236**, 140–145 (1997). Robertson, J. A., Bhattacharyya, S. & Ing, N. H. Tamoxifen up-regulates oestrogen receptor- α , c-fos 87 and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate-dehydrogenase mRNAs in ovine endometrium. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 67, 285–292 (1998).
- Jones, P. S., Parrott, E. & White, I. N. Activation of 88 transcription by estrogen receptor α and β is cell type and promoter-dependent. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 32008–32014 (1999).
- 89 Russo, L. A., Calabro, S. P., Filler, T. A., Carey, D. J. & Gardner, R. M. In vivo regulation of syndecan-3 expression in the rat uterus by 17 β -estradiol. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**, 686–692 (2001).
- Dardes, R. C. et al. Regulation of estrogen target genes and growth by selective estrogen-receptor modulators in endometrial cancer cells. *Gynecol.* Oncol. **85**, 498–506 (2002).
- Wang, Z. et al. Tamoxifen regulates human telomerase 91. reverse transcriptase (hTERT) gene expression differently in breast and endometrial cancer cells. Oncogene **21**, 3517–3524 (2002).
- 92 Hague, S. et al. Tamoxifen induction of angiogenia factor expression in endometrium. Br. J. Cancer 86, 761–767 (2002).
- Sakamoto, T. et al. Estrogen receptor-mediated effects 93. of tamoxifen on human endometrial cancer cells. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* **192**, 93–104 (2002).
- Castro-Rivera, E. & Safe, S. 17 β-estradiol- and 94 4-hydroxytamoxifen-induced transactivation in breast, endometrial and liver cancer cells is dependent on ER-subtype, cell and promoter context. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 84, 23–31 (2003).
- 95. Bramlett, K. S. & Burris, T. P. Target specificity of selective estrogen receptor modulators within human endometrial cancer cells. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 86, 27-34 (2003).
- 96 Paech, K. et al. Differential ligand activation of estrogen receptors ER α and ER β at AP1 sites. *Science* **277**, 1508–1510 (1997).
- Webb, P., Nguyen, P. & Kushner, P. J. Differential SERM 97 effects on corepressor binding dictate ERa activity *in vivo. J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 6912–6920 (2003). Klotz, D. M., Hewitt, S. C., Korach, K. S. &
- 98 Diaugustine, R. P. Activation of a uterine insulin-like growth factor I signaling pathway by clinical and environmental estrogens: requirement of estrogen receptor-α. Endocrinology 141, 3430-3439 (2000)
- Jepsen, K. et al. Combinatorial roles of the nuclear 99 receptor corepressor in transcription and development. *Cell* **102**, 753–763 (2000). 100. Katzenellenbogen, B. S. *et al.* Antiestrogens:
- mechanisms and actions in target cells. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **53**, 387–393 (1995). Montano, M. M., Muller, V., Trobaugh, A. &
- 101 Katzenellenbogen, B. S. The carboxy-terminal F domain of the human estrogen receptor: role in the transcriptional activity of the receptor and the effectiveness of antiestrogens as estrogen antagonists.
- Mol. Endocrinol. 9, 814–825 (1995).
 McInerney, E. M., Tsai, M. J., O'Malley, B. W. & Katzenellenbogen, B. S. Analysis of estrogen receptor transcriptional enhancement by a nuclear hormone receptor coactivator. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **93**, 10069–10073 (1996).
- 103. Smith, C. L., Nawaz, Z. & O'Malley, B. W. Coactivator and corepressor regulation of the agonist/antagonist activity of the mixed antiestrogen, 4-hydroxytamoxifen. Mol. Endocrinol. 11, 657–666 (1997).
- Webb, P. et al. Estrogen receptor activation function 1 104 works by binding p160 coactivator proteins. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **12**, 1605–1618 (1998).
- 105. Jackson, T. A. et al. The partial agonist activity of antagonist-occupied steroid receptors is controlled by a novel hinge domain-binding coactivator L7/SPA and the corepressors N-CoR or SMRT. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 11, . 693–705 (1997).
- 106. Wu, H. et al. Hypomethylation-linked activation of PAX2 mediates tamoxifen-stimulated endometrial carcinogenesis. *Nature* **438**, 981–987 (2005).
- 107. Pole, J., Carmichael, P. & Griffin, J. Identification of transcriptional biomarkers induced by SERMS in human endometrial cells using multivariate analysis of DNA microarrays. *Biomarkers* **9**, 447–460 (2004).
- 108. Pole, J. C., Gold, L. I., Orton, T., Huby, R. & Carmichael, P. L. Gene expression changes induced by estrogen and selective estrogen receptor modulators in primary-cultured human endometrial cells: signals that distinguish the human carcinogen tamoxifen *Toxicology* **206**, 91–109 (2005).

- 109. Farnell, Y. Z. & Ing, N. H. Endometrial effects of selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) on estradiol-responsive gene expression are gene and cell-specific. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 84, 513-526 (2003).
- Saville, B. *et al.* Ligand-, cell-, and estrogen receptor subtype (α/β)-dependent activation at GC-rich (Sp1) promoter elements. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 5379–5387 (2000).
- Fournier, B. *et al.* Estrogen receptor (ER)- α , but not ER- β , mediates regulation of the insulin-like growth 111 factor I gene by antiestrogens. J. Biol. Chem. 276,
- 35444–35449 (2001).
 Berry, M., Metzger, D. & Chambon, P. Role of the two activating domains of the oestrogen receptor in the cell-type and promoter-context dependent agonistic activity of the anti-oestrogen 4-hydroxytamoxifen. EMBO J. 9, 2811–2818 (1990).
- 113. Metzger, D., Losson, R., Bornert, J. M., Lemoine, Y. & Chambon, P. Promoter specificity of the two transcriptional activation functions of the human oestrogen receptor in yeast. Nucleic Acids Res. 20. 2813–2817 (1992).
- 114. Tzukerman, M. T. et al. Human estrogen receptor transactivational capacity is determined by both cellular and promoter context and mediated by two functionally distinct intramolecular regions. Mol Endocrinol. 8, 21–30 (1994). 115. Pham, T. A., Hwung, Y. P., Santiso, D., McDonnell, D. P.
- & O'Malley, B. W. Ligand-dependent and -independent function of the transactivation regions of the human estrogen receptor in yeast. Mol. Endocrinol. 6, 1043-1050 (1992).
- Mansouri, A., Goudreau, G. & Gruss, P. Pax genes and 116 their role in organogenesis. *Cancer Res.* **59** 1707s–1709s (1999).
- Chi, N. & Epstein, J. A. Getting your Pax straight: Pax proteins in development and disease. Trends Genet. 18, 41–47 (2002). 118. Stuart, E. T. & Gruss, P. PAX: developmental control
- genes in cell growth and differentiation. Cell Growth
- Differ. **7**, 405–412 (1996). Hutson, M. R., Lewis, J. E., Nguyen-Luu, D., Lindberg, K. H. & Barald, K. F. Expression of Pax2 and 119 patterning of the chick inner ear. J. Neurocytol. 28 795–807 (1999).
- 120. Joly, D. et al. Pax2 in the development of renal and urinary tract diseases. Adv. Nephrol. Necker Hosp. 29, 317-327 (1999).
- 121. Eccles, M. R. *et al.* PAX genes in development and disease: the role of PAX2 in urogenital tract development. Int. J. Dev. Biol. 46, 535-544 (2002).
- Torres, M., Gomez-Pardo, E., Dressler, G. R. & Gruss, P. 122 Pax-2 controls multiple steps of urogenital development. *Development* **121**, 4057–4065 (1995).
- Torres, M., Gomez-Pardo, E. & Gruss, P. Pax2 contributes to inner ear patterning and optic nerve trajectory. *Development* **122**, 3381–3391 (1996). Favor, J. *et al.* The mouse Pax2(1Neu) mutation is
- identical to a human PAX2 mutation in a family with renal-coloborna syndrome and results in developmental defects of the brain, ear, eye, and kidney. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 93, 13870–13875 (1996).
 125. Kroll, T. G. *et al. PAX8–PPARy1* fusion oncogene in the spin environmental the spin environmental the spin environmental syndromental s
- human thyroid carcinoma [corrected]. Science 289 1357-1360 (2000).
- 126. Cazzaniga, G. et al. The paired box domain gene PAX5 is fused to *ETV6/TEL* in an acute lymphoblastic leukemia case. *Cancer Res.* **61**, 4666–4670 (2001).
- Murer, L. et al. Expression of nuclear transcription 127 factor PAX2 in renal biopsies of juvenile
- nephronophthisis. *Nephron* **91**, 588–593 (2002). 128. Marques, A. R. *et al.* Expression of PAX8-PPAR γ 1 rearrangements in both follicular thyroid carcinomas and adenomas. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 87,
- 3947–3952 (2002). 129. Robson, E. J., He, S. J. & Eccles, M. R. A PANorama of PAX genes in cancer and development. Nature Rev. Cancer 6, 52-62 (2006). A thoughtful and up-to-date review of the oncogenic roles of Pax genes.
- 130. Racz, A. et al. Gene amplification at chromosome 1 pter-p33 including the genes *PAX7* and *ENO1* in squamous cell lung carcinoma. *Int. J. Oncol.* **17**, 67–73 (2000).
- 131. Barr, F. G. Gene fusions involving PAX and FOX family members in alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma. Oncogene **20**, 5736–5746 (2001).
- Nishimoto, K. et al. PAX2 gene mutation in a family with isolated renal hypoplasia. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 12, 1769–1772 (2001).
- 133. Salomon, R. et al. PAX2 mutations in oligomeganephronia. Kidney Int. 59, 457-462 (2001)

- 134. Scholl, F. A. et al. PAX3 is expressed in human melanomas and contributes to tumor cell survival
- Cancer Res. 61, 823–826 (2001). 135. Muratovska, A., Zhou, C., He, S., Goodyer, P. & Eccles, M. R. Paired-Box genes are frequently expressed in cancer and often required for cancer cell survival. Oncogene 22, 7989–7997 (2003).
- 136. Maulbecker, C. C. & Gruss, P. The oncogenic potential
- of Pax genes. *EMBO J.* **12**, 2361–2367 (1993). Stuart, E. T. & Gruss, P. PAX genes: what's new in developmental biology and cancer? *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 137 4, s1717-s1720 (1995).
- Silberstein, G. B., Dressler, G. R. & Van Horn, K. Expression of the *PAX2* oncogene in human breast cancer and its role in progesterone-dependent mammary growth. Oncogene 21, 1009-1016 (2002).
- Khoubehi, B. *et al.* Expression of the developmental and oncogenic *PAX2* gene in human prostate cancer. *J. Urol.* 165, 2115–2120 (2001).
- 140. Dressler, G. R. & Douglass, E. C. Pax-2 is a DNAbinding protein expressed in embryonic kidney and Wilms tumor. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **89**, 1179-1183 (1992).
- 141. Eccles, M. R. et al. Expression of the PAX2 gene in human fetal kidney and Wilms' tumor. *Cell Growth Differ*, **3**, 279–289 (1992).
- Eccles, M. R., Yun, K., Reeve, A. E. & Fidler, A. E Comparative *in situ* hybridization analysis of *PAX2*, *PAX8*, and *WT1* gene transcription in human fetal kidney and Wilms' tumors. Am. J. Pathol. 146, 40–45 (1.995)
- 143. Cho, K. S., Elizondo, L. I. & Boerkoel, C. F. Advances in chromatin remodeling and human disease. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **14**, 308–315 (2004).
- 144. Brophy, P. D., Ostrom, L., Lang, K. M. & Dressler, G. R. Regulation of ureteric bud outgrowth by Pax2dependent activation of the glial derived neurotrophic factor gene. Development 128, 4747–4756 (2001). 145. McConnell, M. J., Cunliffe, H. E., Chua, L. J., Ward, T. A.
- & Eccles, M. R. Differential regulation of the human Wilms tumour suppressor gene (WT1) promoter by two isoforms of PAX2. Oncogene 14, 2689-2700 (1997)
- 146. Dehbi, M., Ghahremani, M., Lechner, M., Dressler, G. & Pelletier, J. The paired-box transcription factor PAX2, positively modulates expression of the Wilms' tumor suppressor gene (WT1). Oncogene 13, 447-453 (1996).
- 147. Brophy, P. D., Lang, K. M. & Dressler, G. R. The secreted frizzled related protein 2 (*SFRP2*) gene is a target of the Pax2 transcription factor. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 52401-5245 (2003).
- 148. Cummings, S. R. *et al.* The effect of raloxifene on risk of breast cancer in postmenopausal women: results from the MORE randomized trial. Multiple Outcomes of Raloxifene Evaluation. JAMA 281, 2189-2197 (1999)
- 149. Risinger, J. I., Maxwell, G. L., Berchuck, A. & Barrett, J. C. Promoter hypermethylation as an epigenetic component in Type I and Type II endometrial cancers. Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 983, 208–212 (2003).

Acknowledgements

Work in laboratory of Y.S. was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China and by the '863 Program' and the '973 Program' of the Ministry of Science and Technology of China.

Competing interests statement

The author declares no competing financial interests.

DATABASES

The following terms in this article are linked online to: Entrez Gene: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query. fcgi?db=ge

β-Catenin | ERα | ERβ | ERBB2 | HDAC1 | KRAS | MECP2 | PAX2 I PTEN I SIN3A

National Cancer Institute: http://www.cancer.gov/ breast cancer | endometrial cancer | Wilms' tumour

FURTHER INFORMATION

Breast Cancer Prevention Trial: http://www.nsabp.pitt.edu/ BCPT_Information.htm

National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project: http://www.nsabp.pitt.edu/

The Study of Tamoxifen and Raloxifene: http://www.cancer. gov/cancertopics/factsheet/Prevention/STAR

United States National Toxicology Program listed steroidal oestrogens as carcinogens (2002): http://ntp-server.niehs.

nih.gov Access to this links box is available online